CF 150 ✓

(1) (5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 478/2004

PETITIONER :

1. Ashok Kumar Singh,
   S/o. Shri Ram Chandra Singh
   Aged 31 years,
   Head Constable No.527,
   P.S. - Jagdalpur
   R/o. Jagdalpur

2. Surendra Yadav,
   S/o. Ram Narayan Yadav,
   Aged 35 years,
   Constable No.596,
   Police Line-Jagdalpur
   R/o. Jagdalpur

3. Virendra Singh Niranjan,
   S/o. Late Harnarayan,
   Singh Niranjan,
   Aged 38 years
   Constable No.827,
   Police Line-Jagdalpur
   R/o. Jagdalpur

4. Devaram Patle,
   S/o. Manipal Singh Patle,
   Aged 35 years
   Constable No.850,
   Police Line-Jagdalpur
   R/o. Jagdalpur

5. Suresh Kumar Gautam,
   S/o. B.L.Gautam,
   Aged 35 years
   Constable No.714,
   Police Line-Jagdalpur
   R/o. Jagdalpur

6. Uddalak Prasad Tiwari,
   S/o. Shri Ambika
   Prasad Tiwari,
   Aged 34 years
   Constable No.759,
   P.S. - Bodhghat, Jagdalpur
   R/o. Jagdalpur

P. R. No. 1165/04
Presented by Shri R.K. Patel
dated 11.02.04

उपयुक्त एस.के. शुक्ला बिलासपुर (छ.ग.) 30/6/14 बिलासपुर तहसील

Received-Copy
Shri Yadav
10.02.04
Clerk to Shri
VINAY HARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

RECEIVED COPY
9-2-04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

(2) (6)

7. Ashok Kumar Rana,
   S/o. Late Shri Ishwar
   Dayal Rana,
   Aged 34 years,
   Constable No.1013,
   Police Line-Dantewada
   R/o. Dantewada

8. Kailash Nath Mishra,
   S/o. Shobhnath
   Aged 31 years,
   Constable No.1182,
   Police Line-Dantewada
   R/o. Dantewada

9. Rameshwar Pandey,
   Aged 33 years,
   Constable No.1290,
   Police Line-Dantewada
   R/o. Dantewada

10. Rajesh Singh Tomar
    Aged 32 years,
    Constable No.976,
    Police Line-Dantewada
    R/o. Dantewada

11. Raj Kumar Rathore,
    S/o. Raghuvir Rathore,
    Aged 37 years,
    Constable No.545,
    Police Line-Jagdalpur
    R/o. Jagdalpur

12. Santosh Singh Parihar,
    S/o. Mangleshwar
    Singh Parihar
    Aged 24 years,
    Constable No. ,
    Police Line-Jagdalpur
    R/o. Jagdalpur

~~3~~ (7)

13. Ashok Kumar Singh,
S/o. Bomgali Singh
Aged 29 years,
P.S.-Parpa, Jagdalpur
R/o. Jagdalpur

14. Satyendra Singh Parihar,
S/o. Late Ramayan
Singh Parihar
Aged 31 years,
Constable No.490,
Police Line-Jagdalpur
R/o. Jagdalpur

15. Vinod Singh Kushwaha,
S/o. Bhagwan
Singh Kushwaha
Aged 34 years,
Constable No.639,
Police Line-Jagdalpur
R/o. Jagdalpur

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. Union of India,
Through - Secretary,
Public Grievance &
Personal Affair
Govt. of India

2. State of Chhattisgarh
Through - Secretary,
Home (Police) Department
Raipur (C.G.)

3. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through - Secretary,
Home (Police) Department
Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal (M.P.)

4. District Superintendent
of Police
Jagdalpur

शपथ आयुक्त
एन.के. शुक्ला
जगदलपुर (छ.ग.)
जिला न्यायालय जगदलपुर छत्तीसगढ़

~~4~~ (8)

5. Director General of Police
Police Head Quarter
Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(54)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	9-6-2004 Shri Y.C.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri Vinay Harit, Sr. Standing Counsel for Union of India. Shri Vinod Shrivastava, G.A. for the State/respondent No. 2, 4 and 5 on advance copy. None for respondent No. 3. Service on respondent no. 2 is not required as no relief is claimed from it. Service to it is dispensed with. I.A. No. 3608/2004 and I.A. No. 3609/2004 are allowed. Heard. Counsel for petitioners submits that the matter relates to allocation of the petitioners. He further submits that in similar matter W.P. No. 578/2004 (Balendra Shekhar Mishra and others –v- Union of India and others), orders have been passed by this Court on 17-12-2003. He submitted that similar order may be passed in this case also. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, material on record, and in view of the judgment cited above and further the legal position, the action of the State authorities in not relieving the petitioners cannot be sustained and the petitioners are entitled for relieving.	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

55

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 478/04 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Accordingly, the petition is allowed the respondents are directed to relieve the petitioners within 15 days from ~~today~~ date of Production of Order. With this observation, the petition is disposed of. Consequently M.W.P. No. 417/2004 stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

Pathak/-